

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/625,980	07/24/2003	Daniel Philpott	60130-1669; 02MRA0574	60130-1669; 02MRA0574 1701	
26096	7590 10/12	)4	EXAM	EXAMINER	
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD			STORMER, I	STORMER, RUSSELL D	
SUITE 350	MAPLE ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BIRMINGH	AM, MI 48009		3617		
			DATE MAILED: 10/12/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Comments	10/625,980	PHILPOTT, DANIEL	,
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Russell D. Stormer	3617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>		
2a)  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  Thi	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the ments is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-19</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 9-12</u> is/are rejected.			
7) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>6-8,13 and 14</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· ·	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>	its have been received.		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•		
application from the International Burea		•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis		ed.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/24/03.</li> </ul>		Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3617

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In each of the claims, the brake assembly lacks antecedent basis.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Fry.

The first and second plates are shown at 15, the torque plates at 16, and the spindles 34 extend through openings in the torque plate 16. See especially figure 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/625,980

Art Unit: 3617

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 2, 3, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fry in view of Pringle.

The axle assembly of Fry does not show a brake assembly.

Pringle teaches a suspension assembly comprising an axle assembly in which a spindle 32 is mounted to a plate or arm 30 and a wheel assembly is mounted on the spindle. The wheel assembly includes a brake assembly (unlabelled) as shown in figure 2. From this teaching it would have been obvious to provide a brake assembly with the wheel assembly which is to be mounted on the hub 33 of Fry, which is mounted to the spindle 34. The brake assembly would therefore be mounted to the torque plate 16 indirectly. This arrangement would allow the wheel and brake assemblies to be attached to the axle assembly as a single unit and thus simplify assembly.

7. Claims 10, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fry in view of Pringle.

The references are applied and combined as set forth in paragraphs 4 and 6 above with respect to the first and second plates, the torque plates, and the mounting of the brake assembly. The references are further applied in that the method of fabricating the axle assembly would have been obvious in view of the construction of the assembly shown by Fry in figure 1.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/625,980

Art Unit: 3617

Fry does not describe the torque plate 16 as being welded to the plate 15, but also does not show fasteners being used the join the members.

It would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art to weld the torque plates 16 to the first and second plates 15 as welding is well-known in the axle art and the metal joining art. Since the connection is an angled connection, welding would be a suitable option for joining these members and would be more practical than using fasteners such as rivets or bolts, which generally need parallel surfaces to be most effective.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 15-19 are allowable over the prior art of record.
- 9. Claims 6, 7, 8, 13, and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references show other tag axle assemblies.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Russell D. Stormer whose telephone number is (703)

,

**Art Unit: 3617** 

308-3768. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9 AM to 4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joe Morano can be reached on (703) 308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

10/1/04